

# Cognitive and brain reserve and the diagnosis and treatment of preclinical Alzheimer disease

Gregory A. Jicha and Dorene M. Rentz Neurology 2013;80;1180-1181 Published Online before print March 13, 2013 DOI 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318289714a

## This information is current as of March 13, 2013

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://www.neurology.org/content/80/13/1180.full.html

*Neurology* <sup>®</sup> is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2013 American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.



Gregory A. Jicha, MD, PhD Dorene M. Rentz, PsyD

Correspondence to Dr. Jicha: gregory.jicha@uky.edu

Neurology<sup>®</sup> 2013;80:1180-1181

## Cognitive and brain reserve and the diagnosis and treatment of preclinical Alzheimer disease

"Reserve" hypotheses in neurodegenerative disease are theoretical concepts that attempt to explain how some individuals are able to maintain normal cognition despite pathologic disease burden sufficient to cause cognitive decline or overt dementia in others.1 This idea was born out of the seminal work of Katzman et al.<sup>2</sup> who described, at postmortem, a subset of older individuals with preserved cognition who harbored substantial levels of neocortical plaques. To explain this disconnect between pathologic burden and clinical state, 2 "reserve" terms have been proposed. Brain reserve refers to intrinsic differences in brain structure or neuronal capacity and is measured by assessment of brain volume and by postmortem assessment of synaptic density and neuronal number or size.<sup>1,3</sup> Cognitive reserve, on the other hand, refers to differences in how individuals utilize adaptive cognitive strategies and engage neural networks to maintain normal cognition in the face of pathologic burden.1 Cognitive reserve is traditionally measured by surrogate markers, such as overall ability level, and lifestyle factors, such as education, occupation, and cognitive, social, and physical leisure activities.<sup>4</sup> With the advent of in vivo antemortem biomarkers of Alzheimer disease (AD) that allow us to quantify brain structure, function, and molecular composition, we now know that approximately 30% of elderly individuals with no clinical impairment have evidence for preclinical AD (pAD), indicated by abnormal Aβ levels by imaging or CSF analysis.<sup>1,5</sup> As the field moves toward preclinical diagnosis and eventual treatment of individuals with pAD, it is critical that we understand the mediating factors of the relationships among cognition, function, and pathologic burden.6 One potential mediating factor is the influence of cognitive and brain reserve.

In this issue of *Neurology*<sup>®</sup>, Ewers et al.<sup>7</sup> investigated the effect of education (a surrogate measure of cognitive reserve) on FDG-PET brain metabolism in 52 cognitively healthy older subjects with pAD, operationalized as those with abnormal CSF Aβ levels in the absence of cognitive impairment.<sup>5,7</sup> Controlling for age, sex, and overall cognitive ability, subjects with normal CSF Aβ (no AD) showed an expected positive association between education and FDG-PET signal in temporal lobe structures, including the hippocampus, and ventral prefrontal cortices. Those with pAD (low CSF A $\beta$ ) showed an inverse or negative relationship between level of education and FDG-PET signal in the same anatomic regions. These results are consistent with previous findings1 that suggest that individuals with higher education, a proxy of cognitive reserve, continue to maintain normal cognitive function despite hypometabolism in neuroanatomic areas common in AD. While the data presented failed to elucidate the compensatory biological mechanisms responsible for such processes, the findings suggest that evidence of FDG-PET hypometabolism, in the presence of normal cognitive performance, may be useful in the diagnosis of pAD. However, further research will be needed to determine whether other factors, such as white matter hyperintensities or other neural processes common in normal aging, may also have an influence on FDG metabolism in cognitively normal older adults.8

Also in this issue of Neurology, Wilson et al.9 examined whether individuals with higher brain reserve differed in their capacity to tolerate neuropathologic lesions. Using data from the Rush Memory and Aging Project, including annual cognitive testing and postmortem pathologic examinations, investigators examined the relationship between neuronal density in discrete brainstem aminergic nuclei and rates of longitudinal cognitive change in 150 older subjects. The data demonstrate that neuronal integrity (i.e, higher neuronal density) in the locus ceruleus (LC) was associated with reduced cognitive decline independent of pathologic burden from other neurodegenerative lesions elsewhere in the brain, as well as tangles or Lewy bodies. The involvement of TDP-43 pathology or vascular insults was not included in the analysis but may play an important role in the vulnerability of this nucleus to neurodegenerative disease states and cognitive decline. Based on these findings, the authors suggest that the LC may represent a structural component of brain reserve that contributes to brain reserve capacity. This study is the

See pages 1194 and 1202

From the Department of Neurology (G.A.J.), Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, and the University of Kentucky Alzheimer's Disease Center, University of Kentucky, Lexington; and Departments of Neurology (D.M.R.), Brigham and Women's Hospital and Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston.

Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the editorial.

© 2013 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

first of its kind to examine brainstem nuclei and their distributed networks as a substrate for compensatory mechanisms of brain reserve and longitudinal cognitive change in cognitively intact subjects. These findings are intriguing because the LC norepinephrine neuromodulatory system interacts with multiple neural networks that subserve various cognitive processes including attention and memory consolidation and retrieval, and thereby is postulated to be the earliest site of anatomic involvement in the pathogenesis of AD.<sup>10</sup> As the authors suggest, increased understanding of the structural and functional components of neural reserve may lead to novel approaches to limiting cognitive decline, including the use of therapeutic agents such as α-adrenergic agonists, β-adrenergic antagonists, and norepinephrine transport inhibitors that can directly modulate noradrenergic networks.

The articles by Ewers et al.7 and Wilson et al.9 in this issue of Neurology are relevant to defining and implementing the proposed criteria for pAD. Within the next few years, we will know whether treatment of pAD is effective in delaying or even preventing the devastating symptoms of AD. Taking into consideration the influences of cognitive and brain reserve will be important for understanding and interpreting the effects of current disease-modifying treatments for AD. While the current therapeutic pipeline is focused on agents that may prevent, slow, or stop disease processes, alternative approaches that augment cognitive and brain reserve may serve as complementary strategies that could further abrogate cognitive decline and dementia beyond the protection afforded by diseasemodifying therapies alone.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

G.A.J., D.M.R.: drafting/revising the manuscript for content.

#### STUDY FUNDING

No targeted funding reported.

#### DISCLOSURE

G. Jicha has served as a consultant for Eli Lilly and is on the Speaker's bureau for both Eli Lilly and Quintiles Medical Education. He receives research support from NIH P30AG028383, R01AG019241, R01HD064993, R01NR014189, R01AG038651, UL1TR000117, U01AG010483, and contract research support from Alltech, Baxter, Eli Lilly, and Janssen Immunotherapy. D. Rentz receives research support from NIH P01AG036694, P50AG005134, 5R01MH090291, 5R01AG017935, 2R01AG027435, R01AG037497, 7R01AG034554, 2U01AG024904, and the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures.

#### REFERENCES

- Stern Y. Cognitive reserve in ageing and Alzheimer's disease. Lancet Neurol 2012;11:1006–1012.
- Katzman R, Terry R, DeTeresa R, et al. Clinical, pathological, and neurochemical changes in dementia: a subgroup with preserved mental status and numerous neocortical plaques. Ann Neurol 1988;23:138–144.
- Steffener J, Stern Y. Exploring the neural basis of cognitive reserve in aging. Biochim Biophys Acta 2012;1822:467–473.
- Foubert-Samier A, Catheline G, Amieva H, et al. Education, occupation, leisure activities, and brain reserve: a population-based study. Neurobiol Aging 2012;33:423 e15–e25.
- Sperling RA, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, et al. Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2011;7:280–292.
- Borroni B, Premi E, Bozzali M, Padovani A. Reserve mechanisms in neurodegenerative diseases: from bench to bedside and back again. Curr Med Chem 2012;19:6112–6118.
- Ewers M, Insel PS, Stern Y, Weiner MW, for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). Cognitive reserve associated with FDG-PET in preclinical Alzheimer disease. Neurology 2013;80:1194–1201.
- Hedden T, Mormino EC, Amariglio RE, et al. Cognitive profile of amyloid burden and white matter hyperintensities in cognitively normal older adults. J Neurosci 2012; 32:16233–16242.
- Wilson RS, Nag S, Boyle PA, et al. Neural reserve, neuronal density in the locus ceruleus, and cognitive decline. Neurology 2013;80:1202–1208.
- Braak H, Thal DR, Ghebremedhin E, Del Tredici K. Stages of the pathologic process in Alzheimer disease: age categories from 1 to 100 years. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2011;70:960–969.

## Cognitive and brain reserve and the diagnosis and treatment of preclinical Alzheimer disease Gregory A. Jicha and Dorene M. Rentz Neurology 2013;80;1180-1181 Published Online before print March 13, 2013 DOI 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318289714a

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://www.neurology.org/content/80/13/1180.full.html
References	This article cites 10 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at: http://www.neurology.org/content/80/13/1180.full.html##ref-list-1
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): All Cognitive Disorders/Dementia http://www.neurology.org//cgi/collection/all_cognitive_disorders _dementia Alzheimer's disease http://www.neurology.org//cgi/collection/alzheimers_disease Cognitive aging http://www.neurology.org//cgi/collection/cognitive_aging Cognitive neuropsychology in dementia http://www.neurology.org//cgi/collection/cognitive_neuropsychol ogy_in_dementia
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures,tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/misc/about.xhtml#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.neurology.org/misc/addir.xhtml#reprintsus

## This information is current as of March 13, 2013

