Articles

Weight change between successive pregnancies and risks of stillbirth and infant mortality: a nationwide cohort study

Sven Cnattingius, Eduardo Villamor

Summary

Background Maternal overweight and obesity are risk factors for stillbirth and infant mortality. Whether temporal changes in maternal weight affect these risks is not clear. We aimed to assess whether change of BMI between first and second pregnancies affects risks of stillbirth and infant mortality in the second-born offspring.

Methods In a Swedish population-based cohort of women who gave birth to their first and second child between Jan 1, 1992, and Dec 31, 2012, we investigated associations between change in maternal body-mass index (BMI) during early pregnancy from first to second pregnancies and risks of stillbirth and neonatal, postneonatal, and infant mortality after the second pregnancy. Relative risks (RRs) for each outcome according to BMI change categories were calculated with binomial regression.

Findings Complete information was available for 456711 (77.7%) of 587710 women who had their first and second single births in the study period. Compared with women with a stable BMI (change between -1 kg/m^2 and $<1 \text{ kg/m}^2$) between pregnancies, the adjusted RRs for women who gained at least 4 BMI units between pregnancies were 1.55 (95% CI 1.23–1.96) for stillbirth and 1.29 (1.00–1.67) for infant mortality. Stillbirth risks increased linearly with increased BMI gain. Risks of infant mortality in second pregnancy only increased with BMI gain in women with healthy BMI (<25 kg/m²) during first pregnancy; the adjusted RR for healthy weight women who gained 2 to less than 4 BMI units was 1.27 (1.01–1.59) and for those who gained 4 BMI units or more the adjusted RR was 1.60 (1.16–2.22). In overweight women (BMI \geq 25 kg/m²), weight loss before pregnancy reduced risk of neonatal mortality.

Interpretation Our findings emphasise the need to prevent weight gain before pregnancy in healthy and overweight women and that weight loss should be promoted in overweight women.

Funding Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare, and Karolinska Institutet.

Introduction

The prevalence of overweight and obesity in childbearing women has reached epidemic proportions, and nowadays more than 50% of women in the USA and more than 30% of women in Sweden are either overweight (body-mass index [BMI] 25 kg/m² to <30 kg/m²) or obese (BMI \geq 30 kg/m²) during early pregnancy.^{1,2} Also, in most low-income and middle-income countries, being overweight is more common than being underweight in young women.³

Maternal overweight and obesity are associated with increased risks of pregnancy complications,⁴ preterm birth,¹⁵ and stillbirth.⁶ Women who gain weight between successive pregnancies increase their risks of preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, and preterm birth in the second pregnancy in a dose–response manner.^{7,8} These associations are more pronounced in women who start off with a healthy weight than in women who are overweight or obese in their first pregnancy.⁸ Interpregnancy weight gain also increases the risk of stillbirth.^{8,9}

Results from studies^{6,10,11} of maternal overweight and obesity and risk of infant mortality are less consistent. We reported¹² that the risk of infant mortality increases with maternal overweight and obesity in a linear manner, and that increases in risk are more evident in the neonatal period than in the postneonatal period. We are unaware of any study investigating whether weight change before pregnancy affects infant mortality risk.

In this Swedish population-based cohort study, we included more than 450 000 women with information on early pregnancy BMI in their first and second pregnancies to investigate whether change of BMI between first and second pregnancies affects risks of stillbirth and infant mortality in the second-born offspring. On the basis of our previous findings that the effect of weight change might differ between healthy and overweight women,⁸ we decided a priori to stratify analyses by women's BMI during their first pregnancy.

Methods

Study design and participants

We did a population-based cohort study of women whose first and second consecutive single births were recorded in the Swedish Medical Birth Register¹³ from Jan 1, 1992, to Dec 31, 2012. We used person-unique national registration numbers to link successive births of each mother and to retrieve information about the women's education and country of birth by individual record linkages between the Swedish Medical Birth Register and the Swedish Education and Total Population Registers.^{14,15} Similarly, we used the infant's



Published Online December 2, 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)00990-3

See Online/Comment http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)01089-2

Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Department of Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden (Prof S Cnattingius MD); and Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI, USA (Prof E Villamor MD)

Correspondence to: Prof Sven Cnattingius, Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Department of Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital, Karolinska Institutet, SE-17176 Stockholm, Sweden

sven.cnattingius@ki.se

Research in context

Evidence before the study

We searched PubMed for articles assessing risks of stillbirth and infant mortality in relation to maternal BMI or interpregnancy weight change up to Dec 31, 2014, using the search terms "maternal BMI", "stillbirth", "fetal death", "infant mortality", "interpregnancy weight change", and "risk". Observational studies show that prepregnant or early pregnancy maternal BMI is associated with increased risks of stillbirth and infant mortality. In a meta-analysis of studies published until Jan 23, 2014, the summary relative risk per 5-units difference in maternal BMI was 1.24 (95% CI 1.18-1.30) for stillbirth and 1.18 (1.09-1.28) for infant death. In a large population-based study published after the meta-analysis, early pregnancy maternal BMI of at least 35 was related to a more than doubled risk of infant mortality. Studies examining BMI change before pregnancy offer an opportunity to assess whether change in this exposure affect risk. Two studies have followed this approach in relation to stillbirth, but none with regard to infant mortality. In addition to our previous study, reporting that high interpregnancy weight gain increases stillbirth risk, we found one study

person-unique national registration number to retrieve information about age at death of offspring from the National Cause of Death Register.¹⁶

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden (number: 2013/2192-32).

Procedures

The Swedish Medical Birth Register prospectively collects data on more than 98% of births in Sweden. Standardised forms for antenatal, obstetric, and neonatal care are used in all antenatal care and delivery units in Sweden, and recorded information is forwarded to the registry after delivery. Information on maternal weight and height has been recorded since 1992.

BMI was defined as weight in kg divided by height in square metres (kg/m²). Maternal BMI in early pregnancy was calculated from self-reported height and weight measured in light indoor clothes and without shoes at the first antenatal visit, which occurs within the first trimester (the first 14 weeks of gestation) for 90% of pregnant women.1 BMI was used to categorise women as underweight ($<18 \cdot 5 \text{ kg/m}^2$), healthy ($18 \cdot 5 \text{ to } <25 \text{ kg/m}^2$), overweight (25 to $<30 \text{ kg/m}^2$), or obese ($\ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$).¹⁷ We calculated the interpregnancy change as the difference between BMI in the first and second pregnancies. We categorised the interpregnancy differences as BMI loss of more than 2 units (<-2), BMI loss of 2 to less than 1 unit (-2 to <-1), loss of 1 BMI unit to gain of less than 1 BMI unit (-1 to <1), gain of 1 to less than 2 BMI units (1 to <2), gain of 2 to less than 4 BMI units (2 to <4), and gain of 4 BMI units or more (\geq 4). 1 BMI unit corresponds

reporting that stillbirth risk increases in women with healthy weight who become overweight and in overweight women who become obese before pregnancy. No study has reported a dose–response association between weight gain and stillbirth risk.

Added value of the study

Our study lends support to the hypothesis that interpregnancy weight gain affects risks of stillbirth and infant mortality. We found a dose-response association between weight gain and stillbirth risk, whereas a similar association between weight gain and infant mortality risk was restricted to offspring of women who were of healthy weight at baseline. In overweight women, weight loss between pregnancies reduced the risk of neonatal mortality. These results were essentially unchanged when multiple imputation techniques were used.

Implications of all available evidence

The present findings provide strong support to advocate that weight gain before pregnancy should be prevented in healthy weight and overweight women and that weight loss should be promoted in overweight women.

to $2 \cdot 8$ kg in women with a height of 167 cm (average height of young women in Sweden).

We also had information about daily smoking during each pregnancy, self-reported at the first antenatal visit and in the third trimester. For each pregnancy, we defined smokers as women who stated that they were daily smokers in early or late pregnancy. Women were categorised across the two pregnancies as non-smokers and non-smokers, smokers and non-smokers, nonsmokers and smokers, and smokers and smokers. We calculated interpregnancy interval as the difference between the estimated conception date of the second infant (defined as birth date minus gestational age of the second infant) and the birth date of the first infant. Interpregnancy interval was categorised as less than 12 months, 12 months to less than 24 months, 24 months to less than 36 months, or 36 months or longer. Additionally, we collected information on height (≤159 cm, 160–164 cm, 165–169 cm, or ≥170 cm), age at second delivery (≤24 years, 25–29 years, 30–34 years, or \geq 35 years), years of completed formal education (9 years, 10–11 years, 12 years, 13–14 years, or \geq 15 years), women's country of birth (Nordic [eg, Sweden, Denmark, Finland, Iceland, and Norway] vs non-Nordic countries), and year of second delivery (1992-99, 2000-04, 2005-08, or 2009-12).

Outcomes

The Birth Register includes livebirths from 22 completed gestational weeks onward and stillbirths from 28 weeks onward. Stillbirth was therefore defined as a fetal death at 28 completed weeks or later. Infant mortality was defined as death during the first year of life in livebirths with a gestational age of at least 22 completed weeks. Neonatal mortality was defined as infant deaths within the first 28 days of life and postneonatal mortality was defined as infant deaths after the first 28 days of life. Gestational length was calculated from the expected date of delivery. In Sweden, all pregnant women are offered an ultrasound scan at 17 gestational weeks or earlier, and more than 95% of women accept this offer.¹⁶

Statistical analysis

For each outcome, we compared risk in second pregnancy by categories of the main exposure, BMI change from first to second pregnancies, and covariates. Women who had experienced the outcome in the first pregnancy were excluded from the analyses of each respective outcome in the second pregnancy. We estimated adjusted relative risks (RRs) with 95% CIs using binomial regression with the log link, a Poisson distribution, and robust estimates of variance. In these regression models, the outcomes are proportions and the link function is a smooth monotone transformation that relates each proportion's covariate values to its probability through a linear predictor.¹⁹ The BMI change category of loss of 1 BMI unit to a gain of less than 1 unit was used as reference. Adjustment covariates included independent predictors of the outcomes or variables related to the exposure that were not on the causal path between interpregnancy weight gain and stillbirth or infant mortality. Because we studied the effect of change in BMI, we controlled for BMI at baseline (ie, BMI in early first pregnancy). Other

	Number of births (%)	Stillbirths*		Infant mo	Infant mortality† Neonat		mortality†	Postneon	Postneonatal mortality‡	
		Absolute number	Rate per 1000 births	Absolute number	Rate per 1000 births	Absolute number	Rate per 1000 births	Absolute number	Rate per 1000 births	
Total	456711 (100.0%)	1082	2.4	943	2.1	544	1.2	399	0.9	
BMI change (kg/m²)										
<-2	20886 (4.6%)	48	2.3	50	2.4	25	1.2	25	1.2	
-2 to <-1	38760 (8·5%)	88	2.3	92	2.4	51	1.3	41	1.1	
-1 to <1	209 427 (45.9%)	413	2.0	387	1.9	218	1.0	169	0.8	
1to <2	91838 (20.1%)	218	2.4	175	1.9	107	1.2	68	0.7	
2 to <4	69856 (15·3%)	215	3.1	161	2.3	99	1.4	62	0.9	
≥4	25944 (5.7%)	100	3.9	78	3.0	44	1.7	34	1.3	
BMI first pregnancy										
<18.5	14151 (3.1%)	25	1.8	27	1.9	12	0.9	15	1.1	
18·5 to <25	315960 (69-2%)	670	2.1	607	1.9	338	1.1	269	0.9	
25 to <30	94164 (20.6%)	275	2.9	207	2.2	131	1.4	76	0.8	
≥30	32 436 (7.1%)	112	3.5	102	3.2	63	2.0	39	1.2	
Maternal height (cm)										
≤159	55337 (12.1%)	150	2.7	147	2.7	79	1.4	68	1.2	
160–164	116 821 (25.6%)	296	2.5	244	2.1	145	1.2	99	0.9	
165-169	136228 (29.8%)	317	2.3	247	1.8	136	1.0	111	0.8	
≥170	148325 (32.5%)	319	2.2	305	2.1	184	1.2	121	0.8	
Maternal age (years)										
≤24	50782 (11.1%)	116	2.3	193	3.8	90	1.8	103	2.0	
25-29	150 802 (33.0%)	346	2.3	287	1.9	152	1.0	135	0.9	
30-34	176869 (38.7%)	406	2.3	316	1.8	202	1.1	114	0.6	
≥35	78258 (17.1%)	214	2.8	147	1.9	100	1.3	47	0.6	
Smoking in first and second pregnancy										
No and no	401266 (87.9%)	902	2.3	767	1.9	459	1.1	308	0.8	
Yes and no	18791 (4.1%)	58	3.1	43	2.3	26	1.4	17	0.9	
No and yes	7475 (1.6%)	19	2.6	30	4.0	10	1.3	20	2.7	
Yes and yes	29179 (6.4%)	103	3.6	103	3.6	49	1.7	54	1.9	
Education (years)										
≤9	32830 (7.2%)	111	3.4	120	3.7	63	1.9	57	1.8	
10-11	73267 (16.0%)	220	3.0	191	2.6	110	1.5	81	1.1	
10 11	123366 (27.0%)	285	2.3	237	1.9	136	1.1	101	0.8	
13-14	68 003 (14·9%)	141	2.1	135	2.0	81	1.2	54	0.8	
≥15	159245 (34·9%)	325	2.0	260	1.6	154	1.0	106	0.7	
	-552-55(57-570)	525		200	_ 0	104		Fable 1 continu		

	Number of births (%)	Stillbirths*		Infant mortality†		Neonatal mortality†		Postneonatal mortality‡	
		Absolute number	Rate per 1000 births	Absolute number	Rate per 1000 births	Absolute number	Rate per 1000 births	Absolute number	Rate per 1000 births
(Continued from p	previous page)								
Country of birth									
Nordic	397735 (87·1%)	918	2.3	786	2.0	458	1.2	328	0.8
Non-Nordic	58 976 (12·9%)	164	2.8	157	2.7	86	1.5	71	1.2
Interpregnancy int	terval (months)								
<12	80913 (17.7%)	181	2.3	187	2.3	98	1.2	89	1.1
12 to <24	173328 (38.0%)	373	2.2	345	2.0	197	1.1	148	0.9
24 to <36	99495 (21·8%)	220	2.2	193	1.9	114	1.1	79	0.8
≥36	102 975 (22·5%)	308	3.0	218	2.1	135	1.3	83	0.8
Year of second birt	:h								
1992-99	110878 (24·3%)	282	2.6	323	2.9	186	1.7	137	1.2
2000-04	113138 (24·8%)	292	2.6	212	1.9	136	1.2	76	0.7
2005-08	107328 (23.5%)	234	2.2	193	1.8	106	1.0	87	0.8
2009-12	125367 (27.4%)	274	2.2	215	1.7	116	0.9	99	0.8

BMI=body-mass index. *Numbers and stillbirth rates are based on 454 360 second births of infants with a gestational age of 28 completed weeks or more, not preceded by stillbirth in first pregnancy. †Numbers and rates of infant and neonatal mortality are based on 454 246 live second births at 22 completed weeks of gestation or later, not preceded by infant death after first birth. ‡Numbers and rates of postneonatal mortality are based on 453702 second births at 22 completed weeks of gestation or later, surviving the neonatal period, and not preceded by a postneonatal death after first birth.

Table 1: Maternal characteristics and rates of stillbirths and infant mortality after second birth for women with first and second consecutive single births in Sweden from 1992 to 2012

	Stillbirth†, RR (95% Cl)	Infant mortality‡, RR (95% CI)	Neonatal mortality§, RR (95% CI)	Postneonatal mortality¶, RR (95% CI)
<-2	0.96 (0.70–1.30)	1.05 (0.77–1.43)	0.91 (0.59–1.41)	1.24 (0.80–1.92)
-2 to <-1	1.09 (0.87–1.37)	1.21 (0.97–1.53)	1.20 (0.88–1.63)	1.23 (0.87–1.74)
-1 to <1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
1 to <2	1.15 (0.97–1.35)	1.00 (0.84–1.20)	1.08 (0.85–1.36)	0.90 (0.68–1.19)
2 to <4	1.38 (1.16–1.63)	1.11 (0.92–1.33)	1.20 (0.94–1.53)	0.98 (0.73–1.32)
≥4	1.55 (1.23–1.96)	1.29 (1.00–1.67)	1.29 (0.92–1.81)	1.30 (0.89–1.92)
$p_{\rm trend}$	<0.0001	0.40	0.13	0.66

RR=relative risk. BMI=body-mass index. *RRs are adjusted for BMI during first pregnancy, maternal height, age at second childbirth, smoking in successive pregnancies, education, country of birth, interpregnancy interval, and year of second childbirth. Women who had experienced the outcome in the first pregnancy were excluded from the analyses of each respective outcome during the second pregnancy. †Analyses include 1082 stillbirths among 454 360 births with a gestational age of at least 28 completed gestational weeks and complete information on covariates. ‡Analyses include 943 infant deaths among 454 246 live second births with a gestational age of at least 22 completed agestational age of at least 24 forwation on covariates. §Analyses include 544 deaths during the neonatal period among 454 246 live second births with a gestational age of at least 22 complete information on covariates. ¶Analyses include 399 deaths among 453 702 second births who survived the neonatal period and had complete information on covariates.

Table 2: Change in BMI between first and second pregnancies and adjusted* relative risks of stillbirth and infant, neonatal, and postneonatal mortality

included covariates were maternal height, age at second childbirth, smoking in successive pregnancies, education, mother's country of birth, interpregnancy interval, and year of second childbirth. In the adjusted models, covariates were categorised according to table 1. We tested for linear trend by introducing into the models a variable representing the ordinal categories of BMI change as a continuous predictor. We next did stratified analyses according to the women's BMI in first pregnancy (<25 kg/m² vs \geq 25 kg/m²). Interactions between first pregnancy BMI and interpregnancy BMI change were tested with likelihood ratio tests. Interactions were judged significant at p<0.05.

Because a large proportion of women were excluded from the primary analysis because of missing data on BMI change or covariates and these exclusions might lead to selection bias, we did additional analyses with multiple imputation of missing values in women with non-missing BMI data during first pregnancy. We estimated missing values for BMI change and covariates, including height, interpregnancy interval, education level, country of origin, and smoking, using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo multiple imputation method before their inclusion in the multivariable models.²⁰ Results from ten multiple imputation cycles were combined with the PROC MIANALYZE routine of SAS Software version 9.3.

Role of the funding source

The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, writing the report, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The corresponding author had full access to the data, and, with EV, had final responsibility for data integrity and data analysis, and the decision to submit for publication.

Results

Of 587710 women having their first and second single births between Jan 1, 1992, and Dec 31, 2012, information about early pregnancy weight and height for the first pregnancy was available for 515 252 women (87.7%), and

	Stillbirth† RR (95% CI)		Infant mortality‡ RR (95% CI)		Neonatal mortality‡ RR (95% CI)		Postneonatal mortality‡§ RR (95% CI)	
	BMI <25	BMI ≥25	BMI <25	BMI ≥25	BMI <25	BMI ≥25	BMI <25	BMI ≥25
	(n=328 674¶)	(n=125 686¶)	(n=328 523¶)	(n=125723¶)	(n=328 523¶)	(n=125723¶)	(n=328173¶)	(n=125 529)¶
<-2	0·99	0·87	1·57	0·68	1·62	0·49	1·51	1·06
	(0·59–1·68)	(0·59–1·29)	(1·02–2·42)	(0·45–1·03)	(0·89–2·96)	(0·27–0·88)	(0·81–2·82)	(0·57–1·97)
-2 to <-1	1·04	1·10	1·25	1·07	1·23	1·01	1·27	1·19
	(0·77–1·40)	(0·76–1·59)	(0·94–1·67)	(0·73–1·56)	(0·83–1·85)	(0·63–1·62)	(0·84–1·92)	(0·63–2·24)
-1 to <1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
1 to <2	1·18	1·04	1·02	0·91	1·29	0·68	0·73	1·37
	(0·97–1·43)	(0·76–1·42)	(0·83–1·27)	(0·65–1·26)	(0·98–1·69)	(0·44–1·07)	(0·52–1·04)	(0·83–2·28)
2 to <4	1·33	1·36	1·27	0·80	1·42	0·83	1·10	0·74
	(1·08–1·65)	(1·02–1·81)	(1·01–1·59)	(0·57–1·11)	(1·05–1·93)	(0·55–1·23)	(0·78–1·55)	(0·41–1·32)
≥4	1·49	1·51	1·60	0·90	1·58	0·89	1·61	0·92
	(1·08–2·06)	(1·07-2·13)	(1·16–2·22)	(0·60–1·34)	(0·99–2·52)	(0·55–1·43)	(1·02–2·55)	(0·45–1·86)
$\mathbf{p}_{\text{trend}}$	0.003	0.004	0.24	0.96	0.11	0.57	0.98	0.41

For the comparison between BMI <25 and BMI \geq 25 groups, p_{entention}=0.96 in stillbirth, p_{intention}=0.04 in infant mortality, p_{intention}=0.04 in neonatal mortality, and p_{intention}=0.08 in postnatal mortality. RR=relative risk. BMI=body-mass index. *RRs are adjusted for BMI during first pregnancy, maternal height, age at second childbirth, smoking in successive pregnancies, education, country of birth, interpregnancy interval, and year of second childbirth. Women who had experienced the outcome after the first pregnancy were excluded from the analyses of respective outcome after the second pregnancy. †Analyses of stillbirths include births with a gestational age of at least 28 completed gestational weeks. ‡Analyses of infant, neonatal mostal mortality include of observations included in each analysis. The number of stillbirths, infant deaths, neonatal deaths, and postneonatal deaths for women with BMI of less than 25 kg/m² or more than 25 kg/m² in first pregnancy were, respectively, 695 and 387, 634 and 309, 350 and 194, and 284 and 115.

Table 3: Change in BMI between first and second pregnancies and adjusted* relative risks of stillbirth, infant, neonatal, and postneonatal mortality after the second pregnancy according to BMI in the first pregnancy

in the two pregnancies for 471670 women (80.3%). Of these women, 456711 also had complete information on included covariates (77.7% of all women). Compared to women with complete information (n=456711), women who were excluded because of missing data (n=130999) were slightly older, were more often smokers and of non-Nordic origin, and had longer interpregnancy intervals (appendix). Stillbirths and infant mortality rates were also higher in women excluded from the study than in those included (appendix).

59646 (13.1%) of 456711 women reduced their weight by at least 1 BMI unit between successive pregnancies, 209427 (45.9%) were stable in weight (change in BMI between -1 and <1), and 187 638 (41.1%) increased their weight by at least 1 BMI unit (table 1).

The rate of stillbirth increased with interpregnancy weight gain, and infants of women with high weight gain (\geq 4 BMI units) had increased infant mortality rates. Compared with second offspring of women with normal weight in first pregnancy, second offspring of women who were overweight or obese had higher stillbirth and infant mortality rates (table 1). Rates of stillbirth and infant mortality were also increased among offspring of short women, smokers, women with low education, and women who were of non-Nordic origin. Offspring of older women (\geq 35 years) had increased stillbirth rates, while offspring of young women (\leq 24 years) had increased infant mortality rates.

The risk of stillbirth increased linearly with weight gain between pregnancies (table 2). Compared to women with stable weight (BMI change from -1 to <1 kg/m²), women with high weight gain (≥ 4 BMI units) had a

>50% increased risk of stillbirth in second pregnancy. High weight gain was also associated with a slightly increased risk of infant mortality. Weight loss between pregnancies did not affect stillbirth or infant mortality risks overall.

We tested for interactions between women's BMI (<25 or \geq 25 kg/m²) and weight change in six classes. Risks of stillbirth increased with increasing weight gain in both BMI groups (test for interaction p=0.96; table 3). We reported significant interactions between BMI at first pregnancy and weight change with respect to infant mortality (p=0.04) and neonatal mortality (p=0.04); the interaction was not significant for postneonatal mortality (p=0.08).

In women with healthy weight during first pregnancy (BMI <25 kg/m²), the risk of infant mortality increased with weight gain. The risk of infant mortality was higher in women who gained 2 to less than 4 BMI units (RR 1.27, 95% CI 1.01–1.59) and in those who gained 4 BMI units or more (1.60, 1.16–2.22) than in women of stable weight. In women with healthy weight, the risk of neonatal mortality increased linearly with weight gain, whereas only high weight gain (≥4 BMI units) increased the risk of postneonatal mortality (1.61, 1.02–2.55).

By contrast, in women who were overweight during their first pregnancy, weight gain had no effect on infant mortality risk. Of note, weight loss of more than 2 BMI units was associated with reduced neonatal mortality risk in offspring of overweight women (RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.27-0.88), whereas a similar weight loss in women of healthy weight was associated with increased infant mortality risk (1.57, 1.02-2.42). Information about gestational age at registration was recorded from 1996 onwards. Of 390 826 women with two childbirths between 1996 and 2012, 336 271 women (86 · 1%) underwent both their first pregnancy visits within the first trimester (within 14 completed weeks of gestation). In supplementary analyses, we analysed the associations of interpregnancy weight change with stillbirth and infant mortality in women who had their weight recorded in the first trimester in both pregnancies. Results were very similar, although statistical power was reduced (appendix). Additional analyses using multiple imputation of missing values on interpregnancy weight gain and covariates resulted in only slight reductions in stillbirth risk for women with high weight gain (appendix).

Discussion

In this population-based cohort study, weight gain from first to second pregnancy was positively related to stillbirth risk in the second-born offspring. The weightgain-related increase in infant mortality was restricted to offspring of women with healthy weight in their first pregnancy. Weight loss between successive pregnancies reduced the risk of neonatal mortality in offspring of overweight women, but increased infant mortality risk in offspring of women with healthy weight.

Obesity and weight gain are associated with inflammatory upregulation,^{21,22} and inflammation has been proposed as one mechanism for the associations between maternal overweight and obesity and several adverse pregnancy outcomes.23 For example, inflammation might cause preterm premature rupture of the membranes,24 and in pregnancies with preterm premature rupture of membranes, the risk of infant mortality is increased by six times in offspring of obese mothers.25 Although weight gain is most likely due to fat accrual, it is not possible to establish the pattern of fat deposition related to weight gain. Nevertheless, BMI in adults is highly associated with visceral fat26 and accumulation of visceral fat is related to inflammation.27 Thus, accrual of visceral fat could be on the causal path from weight gain to stillbirth or infant mortality.

Possible pathways by which weight change between pregnancies might affect infant mortality risk include preterm birth, congenital malformations, and birth asphyxia. Maternal overweight and obesity increase risks of preterm birth and congenital malformations,^{15,28} which are major determinants of infant mortality,^{12,29} In term infants, maternal overweight and obesity not only increase risks of severe asphyxia-related conditions³⁰ but also risk of infant mortality due to birth asphyxia.¹²

The positive association between interpregnancy weight gain and infant mortality was restricted to offspring of women with healthy weight. These findings are in agreement with our previous study showing higher weight gain-related risks of pregnancy complications in women with normal BMI during their first pregnancy than in overweight women.⁸ However, underlying mechanisms are elusive. BMI is a good marker of body fat in early pregnancy in women with healthy weight, overweight, and obesity.^{31,32} Women who start off with healthy weight have less fat mass than overweight women; therefore, the same absolute increase in weight should imply a larger relative increase in fat mass in women with healthy weight than in those who are already overweight. Weight loss in overweight and obese women is probably mainly due to a reduction of body fat, and parallels drops in concentrations of inflammatory cytokines that are produced in fat tissue.³³ If illness is more often the underlying cause of weight loss in women with healthy weight than in overweight women, this might in turn explain why the associations with pregnancy and offspring risks differ between the two groups.

In this population-based cohort study, we had sufficient statistical power to show a dose–response association between interpregnancy weight gain and stillbirth risk, both in offspring of women with healthy weight and overweight women. These results extend on previous findings that weight gain between pregnancies increases subsequent stillbirth risk.⁸⁹ The mechanisms by which overweight and obesity affect stillbirth risk are poorly understood and might be attributed only in part to overweight-related and obesity-related pregnancy complications, including pregnancy-induced hypertensive diseases or gestational diabetes.³⁴

In our study, the availability of the person-unique Swedish national registration number made it possible to link information from two successive pregnancies. Information on women's BMI was based on recordings of height and weight in early pregnancy, which precludes recall bias. Follow-up was complete because information on stillbirths and infant mortality is available for all births in the Medical Birth Register when combined with information in the Causes of Death Register.

We had the possibility to control for several important confounders, including mother's education, interpregnancy interval, and smoking habits across the two pregnancies. Still, we cannot exclude the possibility of residual confounding by social or time-varying covariates. For example, women with high weight gain might also have a less healthy lifestyle in other aspects, such as a less healthy diet or higher alcohol consumption than women who maintain their weight.

Missing data is another limitation because information on interpregnancy weight change and covariates was only available in 78% of all women. Women excluded in the analysis had higher rates of stillbirth and infant mortality than the women included. The excluded women were also more often smokers and of non-Nordic origin, and had longer interpregnancy intervals. We have previously shown that these characteristics are associated with increased interpregnancy weight gain;^s thus, exclusion of those women from the present analyses might have resulted in an under-representation of exposed individuals with high outcome rates. Therefore, the estimates of association we observed, if anything, could represent an underestimation of the effect of weight gain on these outcomes. When we used multiple imputation techniques, there were only negligible changes in the associations, which indicated that selection bias caused by missing data is not a major concern.

Information on women's BMI was based on measured weight and self-reported height recorded in early pregnancy. As women tend to slightly overestimate their height,³⁵ measurement errors in height might have led us to underestimate women's BMI. However, provided that this reporting bias is similar in first and second pregnancies, a possible effect on interpregnancy differences in BMI should be minor.

Although we included information for more than 450 000 births, range of exposure (eg, differences in interpregnancy weight gain) was restricted, and stillbirth and infant mortality rates were low. Moreover, in analyses of infant mortality, statistical power was further hampered because interactions needed stratification. Low statistical power was also the reason why we refrained from studying associations between weight change and causes of infant death.

Our findings might potentially have substantial public health implications. 15% of the women gained 2 to less than 4 BMI units between pregnancies (corresponding to 6–11 kg in women of average height), and 6% gained at least 4 BMI units. Such weight gains would increase stillbirth risks by 30–50%, irrespective of weight in first pregnancy. The same weight gains would increase risks of infant mortality by 27% and 60%, respectively, in offspring of women with a healthy weight in first pregnancy. Conversely, in overweight women, we found that a weight reduction of more than 2 BMI units (corresponding to at least 6 kg) was related to about a 50% lower risk of neonatal mortality.

Prevention of weight gain and promotion of weight loss in overweight women might reduce stillbirth and infant mortality risks in offspring of parous women. The pathways by which overweight and obesity affect stillbirth and infant mortality risks are to be established.

Contributors

 SC and EV conceived and designed the study, analysed the data, and drafted the report.

Declaration of interests

We declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare (grant number 2014-0073), and an unrestricted grant from Karolinska Institutet (Distinguished Professor Award to SC). We thank Gunnar Petersson, Karolinska Institutet, for assistance with data extraction and linkage.

References

- Cnattingius S, Villamor E, Johansson S, et al. Maternal obesity and risk of preterm delivery. JAMA 2013; 309: 2362–70.
- 2 Dalenius K, Brindley P, Smith B, Reinold C, Grummer-Strawn L. Pregnancy nutrition surveillance 2010 Report. Atlanta, GA, USA. http://www.cdc.gov/pednss/pdfs/2010-PNSS-Summary-Report.pdf (accessed Nov 30, 2014).

- 3 Mendez MA, Monteiro CA, Popkin BM. Overweight exceeds underweight among women in most developing countries. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005; 81: 714–21.
- Guelinckx I, Devlieger R, Beckers K, Vansant G. Maternal obesity: pregnancy complications, gestational weight gain and nutrition. *Obes Rev* 2008; 9: 140–50.
- 5 Torloni MR, Betran AP, Daher S, et al. Maternal BMI and preterm birth: a systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis. *J Matern Fetal Med* 2009; 22: 957–70.
- 6 Aune D, Saugstad OD, Henriksen T, Tonstad S. Maternal body mass index and the risk of fetal death, stillbirth, and infant death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2014; 311: 1536–46.
- 7 Whiteman VE, Rao K, Duan J, Alio A, Marty PJ, Salihu HM. Changes in prepregnancy body mass index between pregnancies and risk of preterm phenotypes. *Am J Perinatol* 2011; 28: 67–74.
- 8 Villamor E, Cnattingius S. Interpregnancy weight change and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes: a population-based study. *Lancet* 2006; 368: 1164–70.
- 9 Whiteman VE, Crisan L, McIntosh C, et al. Interpregnancy body mass index changes and risk of stillbirth. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 2011; 72: 192–95.
- 10 Meehan S, Beck CR, Mair-Jenkins J, Leonardi-Bee J, Puleston R. Maternal obesity and infant mortality: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2014; 133: 863–71.
- 11 Salihu HM, Alio AP, Wilson RE, Sharma PP, Kirby RS, Alexander GR. Obesity and extreme obesity: new insights into the black-white disparity in neonatal mortality. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008; 111: 1410–16.
- 12 Johansson S, Villamor E, Altman M, Bonamy AK, Granath F, Cnattingius S. Maternal overweight and obesity in early pregnancy and risk of infant mortality: a population based cohort study in Sweden. *BMJ* 2014; 349: g6572.
- 13 Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare (Socialstyrelsen). The Swedish Medical Birth Register. A summary of content and quality. http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/Lists/Artikelkatalog/ Attachments/10655/2003-112-3_20031123.pdf (accessed Dec 5, 2014).
- 14 Statistics Sweden. Evaluation of the Swedish register of education (in Swedish). http://www.scb.se/statistik/_publikationer/ BE9999_2006A01_BR_BE96ST0604.pdf (accessed Dec 5, 2014).
- 15 Statistics Sweden. Tables on the population in Sweden 2009 (in Swedish). http://www.scb.se/statistik/_publikationer/ BE0101_2009A01_BR_BE0110TAB.pdf (accessed Dec 5, 2014).
- 16 Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare (Socialstyrelsen). Causes of death 2013 (in Swedish). http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/ Lists/Artikelkatalog/Attachments/19490/2014-8-5.pdf (accessed Dec 5, 2014).
- 7 WHO. Global database on body mass index: BMI classification. http://apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp?introPage=intro_3.html (accessed Dec 5, 2014).
- 18 Hogberg U, Larsson N. Early dating by ultrasound and perinatal outcome—a cohort study. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1997; 76: 907–12.
- 19 Wacholder S. Binomial regression in GLIM: estimating risk ratios and risk differences. Am J Epidemiol 1986; 123: 174–84.
- 20 Schafer J. Analysis of incomplete multivariate data. New York: Chapman and Hall, 1997.
- 21 Ahonen T, Vanhala M, Kautiainen H, Kumpusalo E, Saltevo J. Sex differences in the association of adiponectin and low-grade inflammation with changes in the body mass index from youth to middle age. *Gend Med* 2012; 9: 1–8.
- 22 Wisse BE. The inflammatory syndrome: the role of adipose tissue cytokines in metabolic disorders linked to obesity. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2004; **15**: 2792–800.
- 23 Schmatz M, Madan J, Marino T, Davis J. Maternal obesity: the interplay between inflammation, mother and fetus. *J Perinatol* 2010; 30: 441–46.
- 24 Genc MR, Ford CE. The clinical use of inflammatory markers during pregnancy. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2010; 22: 116–21.
- 25 Nohr EA, Vaeth M, Bech BH, Henriksen TB, Cnattingius S, Olsen J. Maternal obesity and neonatal mortality according to subtypes of preterm birth. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007; **110**: 1083–90.
- 26 Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Allison DB, Kotler DP, Ross R. Body mass index and waist circumference independently contribute to the prediction of nonabdominal, abdominal subcutaneous, and visceral fat. Am J Clin Nutr 2002; 75: 683–88.

- 27 Fontana L, Eagon JC, Trujillo ME, Scherer PE, Klein S. Visceral fat adipokine secretion is associated with systemic inflammation in obese humans. *Diabetes* 2007; 56: 1010–13.
- 28 Stothard KJ, Tennant PW, Bell R, Rankin J. Maternal overweight and obesity and the risk of congenital anomalies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2009; 301: 636–50.
- 29 Simmons LE, Rubens CE, Darmstadt GL, Gravett MG. Preventing preterm birth and neonatal mortality: exploring the epidemiology, causes, and interventions. *Semin Perinatol* 2010; 34: 408–15.
- 30 Persson M, Johansson S, Villamor E, Cnattingius S. Maternal overweight and obesity and risks of severe birth-asphyxia-related complications in term infants: a population-based cohort study in Sweden. *PLoS Med* 2014; 11: e1001648.
- 31 Lindsay CA, Huston L, Amini SB, Catalano PM. Longitudinal changes in the relationship between body mass index and percent body fat in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 1997; 89: 377–82.

- 32 Sewell MF, Huston-Presley L, Amini SB, Catalano PM. Body mass index: a true indicator of body fat in obese gravidas. *J Reprod Med* 2007; **52**: 907–11.
- 33 Ambeba EJ, Styn MA, Kuller LH, Brooks MM, Evans RW, Burke LE. Longitudinal effects of weight loss and regain on cytokine concentration of obese adults. *Metabolism* 2013; 62: 1218–22.
- 34 Stephansson O, Dickman PW, Johansson A, Cnattingius S. Maternal weight, pregnancy weight gain, and the risk of antepartum stillbirth. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001; 184: 463–69.
- 35 Brunner Huber LR. Validity of self-reported height and weight in women of reproductive age. Matern Child Health J 2007; 11: 137–44.

Comment

Interpregnancy weight gain—a modifiable cause of stillbirth?

In The Lancet, Sven Cnattingius and Eduardo Villamor¹ capitalise once more on the extraordinary resource of the Swedish Medical Birth Register to identify risk factors for stillbirth and infant death. In a populationbased cohort study of 456711 women with data on height and early gestational weight, the authors investigated the association between change in bodymass index (BMI) between first and second pregnancies and risks of stillbirth and infant mortality. The authors report an incremental increase in risk of stillbirth with BMI gain between first and second pregnancies (relative risk [RR] 1.38, 95% CI 1.16-1.63 if BMI gain is 2 to <4 kg/m² vs -1 to <1 kg/m²; and RR 1.55, 95% CI 1.23-1.96, if BMI gain is $\ge 4 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ vs} - 1 \text{ to} < 1 \text{ kg/m}^2$); and a novel finding in women of normal weight during their first pregnancy that even modest increases in BMI by their second pregnancy were associated with increased infant mortality (RR 1.27, 95% CI 1.01-1.59 if BMI gain was 2 to <4 kg/m² vs -1 to <1 kg/m²). Women of healthy weight in their first pregnancy who gained more than 4 BMI units (one BMI unit corresponds to 2.8 kg in an average Swedish woman with a height of 167 cm) had a further increase in infant mortality (RR 1.60, 95% CI 1.16–2.22). Reassuringly, overweight women who lost weight before a second pregnancy had a reduction in neonatal mortality (RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.27-0.88, if BMI decrease was >2 kg/m²).

As described in *The Lancet* Stillbirth Series, stillbirth rates in developed countries are intransigently and tragically high.² Neonatal mortality targets prescribed by the Millennium Development Goals have also not been realised, and improvements in infant mortality have plateaued. Is it possible that interpregnancy weight gain represents a modifiable target to reduce stillbirth and neonatal death substantively?

For women, excessive weight gain in pregnancy and post-partum weight retention are major risk factors for obesity, leading to increasing BMI with successive pregnancies.³ We should ask two questions: is it possible to limit gestational weight gain safely and adequately in pregnant women, and why do some women readily regain their prepregnancy BMI whereas many do not?

Trials of diet or physical activity interventions for optimising pregnancy weight gain have had variable success.⁴ Most studies have been underpowered to address clinically relevant outcomes and few have assessed whether reductions in gestational weight gain affect BMI in future pregnancy.^{5,6} In the LIMIT study⁷ (n=2212), the largest trial of lifestyle intervention in overweight and obese women so far, there was no effect on pregnancy weight gain, although macrosomia was reduced. In a meta-analysis published before LIMIT, the average reduction in gestational weight gain achieved in trials of lifestyle interventions was 1.42 kg.4 In the UPBEAT⁸ (n=1555) and RADIEL⁹ (n=293) trials, which used more intensive and individualised interventions, there was a modest reduction in pregnancy weight gain (roughly 0.5 kg). Even if this weight reduction persisted until the next pregnancy, which is by no means certain, this magnitude of weight change would be too small to translate into a significant reduction in stillbirth or infant death in the next pregnancy, according to Cnattingius and Villamor's data.1 Present evidence suggests that, while lifestyle interventions to limit gestational gain could have health benefits for mother and baby, the effect on post-partum weight retention might not be sufficient to have a major effect on interpregnancy weight gain and consequently stillbirth and infant death risk.

Why then do some women regain their prepregnancy weight and not others, and is excessive pregnancy weight gain the predominant determinant of postpartum weight retention? Improved knowledge could help develop useful post-partum strategies to prevent





Published Online December 2, 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)01089-2 See Online/Articles http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)00990-3 weight gain between pregnancies. Indeed, attempts have already been made to intervene post partum; a systematic review of 11 studies suggested that postpartum weight loss might be achievable, but many uncertainties remain as to the best strategy.¹⁰

A mother's life post partum can be physically and mentally challenging. Post-partum weight retention has been reported to be more common in ethnic minorities and in women with sleep deprivation and post-partum depression, all of which are risk factors for stillbirth.^{11,12} These and other insights should inform development of better interventions to reduce weight retention after delivery, and might in turn contribute to a reduction in stillbirth and neonatal mortality.

An association between maternal overweight and obesity and infant mortality has been widely reported.13 Cnattingius and Villamor's study¹ presents new evidence that moderate interpregnancy weight gain (BMI increase of $\geq 2 \text{ kg/m}^2$, which is about 6 kg in an average Swedish woman) is also associated with increased infant mortality, but only in infants born to the mothers of healthy weight during their first pregnancy. This finding is unexpected, but the authors suggest that increments in BMI in lean women could reflect a greater accrual of fat mass than in obese women, and therefore a greater risk. This association was limited not just to neonatal death, which might be explained by increased risk of congenital malformations, preterm birth, hypoxicischaemic encephalopathy, and neonatal sepsis with high maternal BMI, but also to death after the neonatal period (61% risk increase if BMI gain is ≥4; RR 1.61, 95% CI 1.02-2.55). Although residual confounding from factors such as social deprivation, smoking, and undetected mental illness might be responsible,12 this association could have a causal component. A previous report¹⁴ suggested that infants born to overweight mothers have a two-fold increase in risk of sudden infant death syndrome, and women with high BMI are less likely to breastfeed successfully, which is associated with increased risk of postneonatal death.¹⁵

Very importantly, we need effective fiscal and public health strategies to improve the awareness and implementation of the benefits of healthy diets and physical activity in young people. In turn this improvement would reduce obesity and excessive pregnancy weight gain in pregnant women, mitigate against post-partum weight retention, and potentially reduce stillbirth and infant death.

*Lesley M McCowan, Christopher J McKinlay, Lucilla Poston Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland 1142, New Zealand (LMM); Liggins Institute, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand (CJM); and Division of Women's Health, Women's Health Academic Centre, King's College London and King's Health Partners, London, UK (LP) I.mccowan@auckland.ac.nz

We declare no competing interests.

- Cnattingius S, Villamor E. Weight change between successive pregnancies and risks of stillbirth and infant mortality: a nationwide cohort study. Lancet 2015; published online Dec 2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)00990-3.
- 2 Flenady V, Middleton P, Smith GC, et al. Stillbirths: the way forward in high-income countries. *Lancet* 2011; **377**: 1703–17.
- 3 Rong K, Yu K, Han X, et al. Pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational weight gain and postpartum weight retention: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Public Health Nutr 2014; 20: 1–11.
- 4 Thangaratinam S, Rogozinska E, Jolly K, et al. Effects of interventions in pregnancy on maternal weight and obstetric outcomes: meta-analysis of randomised evidence. BMJ 2012; 344: e2088.
- 5 Vinter CA, Jensen DM, Ovesen P, et al. Postpartum weight retention and breastfeeding among obese women from the randomized controlled Lifestyle in Pregnancy (LiP) trial. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2014; 93: 794–801.
- 6 Phelan S, Phipps MG, Abrams B, et al. Does behavioral intervention in pregnancy reduce postpartum weight retention? Twelve-month outcomes of the Fit for Delivery randomized trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014; **99:** 302–11.
- ⁷ Dodd JM, Turnbull D, McPhee AJ, et al. Antenatal lifestyle advice for women who are overweight or obese: LIMIT randomised trial. *BMJ* 2014; 348: g1285.
- 8 Poston L, Bell R, Croker H, et al. Effect of a behavioural intervention in obese pregnant women (the UPBEAT study): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2015; 3: 767–77.
- Koivusalo SB, Rono K, Klemetti MM, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus can be prevented by lifestyle intervention: The Finnish gestational diabetes prevention study (RADIEL): a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care* 2015; published online July 29. DOI: 10.2337/dc15-0511.
- 10 van der Pligt P, Willcox J, Hesketh KD, et al. Systematic review of lifestyle interventions to limit postpartum weight retention: implications for future opportunities to prevent maternal overweight and obesity following childbirth. Obes Rev 2013; 14: 792–805.
- 11 Stacey T, Thompson JM, Mitchell EA, Ekeroma AJ, Zuccollo JM, McCowan LM. Association between maternal sleep practices and risk of late stillbirth: a case-control study. *BMJ* 2011; **342:** d3403.
- 12 Gardosi J, Madurasinghe V, Williams M, Malik A, Francis A. Maternal and fetal risk factors for stillbirth: population based study. *BMJ* 2013; **346:** f108.
- 13 Meehan S, Beck CR, Mair-Jenkins J, Leonardi-Bee J, Puleston R. Maternal obesity and infant mortality: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2014; **133**: 863–71.
- 14 Wisborg K, Vesterggard M, Kristensen J, Kesmodel U. Prepregnancy body mass index and sudden infant death syndrome. *Epidemiology* 2003; 14: 630.
- 15 Chen A, Rogan WJ. Breastfeeding and the risk of postneonatal death in the United States. *Pediatrics* 2004; **113**: e435–39.